PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

TRANSLATION INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 36388P WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No.	International filing date (day/ma	onth/year) Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/EP2006/008538	31.08.2006	01.09.2005
International Patent Classification (IPC) or nation	onal classification and IPC	
A61K47/48		
Applicant		
CELARES GMBH		
1 This was at its about the state of the sta		Liked by this International Dullinian on Providing Anthonia
This report is the international prelin under Article 35 and transmitted to the		lished by this International Preliminary Examining Authority 86.
2. This REPORT consists of a total of _	9 sheet	s, including this cover sheet.
3. This report is also accompanied by A?	NNEXES, comprising:	
a. (sent to the applicant and	to the International Bureau) a tot	tal of 24 sheets, as follows:
1 1 1 1	_	ich have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or
sheets containing rec Instructions).	tifications authorized by this Au	thority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyo the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemen		
Box.		
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate)		ype and number of electronic carrier(s))
		, containing a sequence listing and/or tables
related thereto, in electronic 802 of the Administrative In	•	Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section
This report contains indications relating	ng to the following items:	
Box No. I Basis of the	report	
Box No. II Priority		
Box No. III Non-establis	shment of opinion with regard to	novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
Box No. IV Lack of unit	y of invention	
BON 110. 1	atement under Article 35(2) with d explanations supporting such sta	regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; atement
Box No. VI Certain docu	uments cited	
Box No. VII Certain defe	cts in the international applicatio	on .
Box No. VIII Certain obse	ervations on the international app	lication
Date of submission of the demand Date of comple		ompletion of this report
		-
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorize	ed officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone	e No.

International application No.

PCT/EP2006/008538

Вох	k No. I	Basis of the report		
1.	With	n regard to the language, this report is based on:		
	\boxtimes	the international application in the language in which it was	s filed	
		the translation of the international application into translation furnished for the purposes of:		, which is the language of a
		international search (Rule 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))		
		publication of the international application (Rule 12.4	4(a))	
		international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2(a) a	and/or 55.3(a))	
2.	rece	n regard to the elements of the international application, this iving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 a report):		
	\mathbb{R}	the international application as originally filed/furnished		
		the description:		
				as originally filed/furnished
		pages*		
		pages*	received by this Authority on	
	M	the claims:		
		nos.		as originally filed/furnished
		nos.*	as amended (togethe	r with any statement) under Article 19 02.07.2007 with letter
		nos.* _ 1-22	received by this Authority on	
		nos.*	received by this Authority on	
	\boxtimes	the drawings:		
		sheets 1/26-5/26, 9/26, 10/26, 23/26-25	/26	as originally filed/furnished
		sheets* 6/26-8/26,11/26-22/26,26/26	received by this Authority on	02.07.2007 with letter of 02.07.2007
		sheets*	received by this Authority on	
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplem	nental Box Relating to Sequence L	isting.
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
		the description, pages		
		the claims, nos.		
		the drawings, sheets/figs		
		the sequence listing (specify):		
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amend they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as for		
		the description, pages		
		the claims, nos.		
		the drawings, sheets/figs		
		the sequence listing (specify):		
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
*	If ite	em 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "sup	erseded."	

International application No.
PCT/EP2006/008538

Box No. II	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
-	ions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially have not been examined in respect of:
	the entire international application
\boxtimes	claims, Nos. 9 (in part)
becaus	the said international application, or said claims Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):
\boxtimes	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 9 (in part) are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
	the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos.
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:
	furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter.1(a) or (b) and 13ter.2.
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
	See Supplemental Box for further details.

International application No.
PCT/EP2006/008538

Box	No. V			ticle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; sporting such statement	
1.	Statement				
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-22	YES
			Claims		NO
	Inventive	step (IS)	Claims	1-8, 10-22	YES
			Claims	9	NO
	Industrial	applicability (IA)	Claims	1-22	YES
			Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

D1: WO 2004 083 258

D2: WO 98 184 94

D3: WO 02 43 772

D4: US 2003 0 161 791

D5: WO 2004 108 634.

D1 are D5 cited in the application.

1. Amendments (PCT Article 33(2)(b))

Compound (I) contains at least three groups of the formula (IIa) (original claim 1 and page 18), wherein T is TRIS-branched, as disclosed in the original claim 3 and on page 8. Dependent claims 3-22 have been brought into line. The drawings have been corrected since the claimed compounds contain no peroxy groups (PCT Rule 91.1). Thus, the requirements of PCT Article 33(2)(b) have been satisfied.

/...

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

2. Novelty PCT Article 33(2)

Compounds of the formula (I) with at least three polyalkylene oxide groups, wherein P is at least one of the three groups, are already known from the prior art:

- D1 (page 29, second compound: see also page 28, lines 9-10; claims 3-4 and 23-24)
- D2 (page 7, line 31 to page 8, line 1; page 9, lines 12-20 and 23-28; page 10, lines 11-26; example; claims 29, 33-34 and 40)
- D3 (page 9, line 16 to page 10, line 3; page 11,
 lines 3-6 and 14-17; page 16, line 17 to
 page 17, line 25: formulas Ib and Ic;
 example 6; claims 1, 4-5, 21, 25 and 28)
- **D4** (columns 48, 87, 93 and 119-122; claims 49 and 52-53)
- D5 (example 3; example B; formula in C, in particular (XIIb), (XIIc), (XV) and (XVI); claims 1-2, 4 and 6).

The known compounds are also used as reagents for modifying biopharmaceuticals (D1, columns 89-90, 139-141, claims 11 and 31; D2, page 2, lines 11-16 and 22-25; page 11, line 31 to page 12, line 13; claims 36 and 42; D3, page 22, lines 14-24; page 23, lines 17-21; page 27, line 1; claim 48; D4, columns 36, 67, 136 and 172; examples 5 and 7; claim 54; and D5, claims 9-15).

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Since, by contrast with claim 1 of the present application, the above compounds do not include any TRIS branching, novelty can be acknowledged in respect of the subject matter of claims 1-24.

3. Inventive step (PCT Article 33(3))

Prior art document **D5** also describes compounds of the formula (I) — with a total of at least three groups of the formula (IIa) but, by contrast with the disclosure of claim 1 of the present application, no TRIS—branched group T — as reagents for the modification of bio—pharmaceuticals. The problem addressed by the present application is that of devising a straightforward way of providing branched PEG reagents. The proposed solution lies in the provision of compounds that comprise three PEG groups (formula (IIa)) and a TRIS—branching group.

Known PEG reagents contain natural amino acids as branching components, said amino acids having known disadvantages (see the description, pages 3-6). Therefore even in D5, alternatives are sought. Although the compounds in D5 also contain at least three PEG groups of the formula (IIa) (D5, page 10), the branching T is a linear alkyl chain (D5, pages 18 and 22-23) or $(CO)C]_2(C)(CO)$ (D5: formula (XV) or (XVI)), and not $T = N(C)[OCH2]_3$ as in the present application. The production of PEG reagents

/ . . .

International application No.
PCT/EP2006/008538

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

of up to 6 PEG chains was disclosed in D5. In the present application PEG reagents with a higher number of branches are produced using the TRIS branching group (see figures 20 and 21). Document D5 contains nothing to indicate that such a high degree of branching can be achieved by means of this type of synthesis.

Thus, the subject matter of claims 1-8 and 11-24 involves an inventive step. In the case of claim 9, the scope of protection is not clearly defined (see continuation of Box III in the Supplemental Box below).

International application No.
PCT/EP2006/008538

Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application

The expression "preferably" is unclear since it has no restrictive effect on the scope of protection of the claim (see claim 13).	The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:
	The expression "preferably" is unclear since it has no
claim (see claim 13).	restrictive effect on the scope of protection of the
	claim (see claim 13).

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of: Box III

Box III: Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claim 9 relates to a specific compound (I). The scope of protection of said claim now appears to be broader than in the newly submitted claim 1 since P comprises two groups of the formula (II), not of the formula (IIa). Said compound should comprise three groups of the formula (IIa). This results in a lack of clarity (PCT Article 6).